# Effect of PGG-glucan on the Rate of Serious Postoperative Infection or Death Observed After High-Risk Gastrointestinal Operations

E. Patchen Dellinger, MD; Timothy J. Babineau, MD; Paul Bleicher, MD, PhD; Allen B. Kaiser, MD; G. Burton Seibert, PhD; Russell G. Postier, MD; Stephen B. Vogel, MD, PhD; James Norman, MD; David Kaufman, MD; Susan Galandiuk, MD; Robert E. Condon, MD; for the Betafectin Gastrointestinal Study Group

**Background:** Postoperative infections remain common after high-risk gastrointestinal procedures. PGG-glucan (Betafectin; Alpha Beta Technology Inc, Worcester, Mass), derived from yeast cell walls, promotes phagocytosis and intracellular killing of bacterial pathogens by leukocytes, prevents infection in an animal model of wound infection, and acts synergistically with antibiotics to reduce mortality in rat peritonitis.

ne.

in

in

ıl-

:1-

d,

d

**Hypothesis:** We hypothesized that infectious complications in these patients might be reduced by the administration of a nonspecific immune-enhancing agent.

**Design:** Multicenter, prospective, randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial of 1249 patients prospectively stratified into colorectal or noncolorectal strata.

**Setting:** Thirty-nine medical centers throughout the United States.

**Patients:** Aged 18 years or older, scheduled for gastrointestinal procedure lasting 2 to 8 hours, with 2 or more defined risk factors.

**Interventions:** PGG-glucan, 0.5 mg/kg or 1.0 mg/kg, or placebo once preoperatively and 3 times postoperatively. All patients received standardized antibiotic prophylaxis.

**Main Outcome Measures:** Serious infection or death within 30 days.

Results: All randomized patients revealed no difference in serious infections and deaths in the treated groups compared with placebo groups (15% vs 14%, P>.90). In the prospectively defined noncolorectal stratum (n = 391), PGG-glucan administration was associated with a statistically significant relative reduction (39%) in serious infections and death (placebo, 46 [36%] of 129 vs either PGG-glucan group, 29 [21%] of 132 and 28 [22%] of 130, P<.02). PGG-glucan reduced postoperative infection or death in malnourished patients having noncolorectal procedures (31 [44%] of 70, placebo group; 16 [24%] of 68, 0.5-mg/kg PGGglucan group; 12 [17%] of 72, 1.0-mg/kg PGG-glucan group; P < .001). Study drug was stopped owing to adverse effects more frequently for patients receiving PGG-glucan than placebo (2%, 4%, and 7% for the placebo group, 0.5-mg/kg PGG-glucan group, and 1.0mg/kg PGG-glucan group, respectively, P < .003).

**Conclusion:** Perioperative administration of PGG-glucan reduced serious postoperative infections or death by 39% after high-risk noncolorectal operations.

Arch Surg. 1999;134:977-983

The affiliations of the authors appear in the acknowledgment section at the end of the article. A complete list of the members of the Betafectin Gastrointestional Study Group is given on page 982 of this article.

ESPITE MODERN surgical techniques and perioperative prophylactic antibiotics, postoperative infection rates are an ongoing cause for concern in high-risk patients. This risk is increased in patients having gastrointestinal procedures with potential endogenous bacterial contamination, especially with additional risk factors including lengthy procedures, preexisting illnesses, advanced age, diabetes mellitus, morbid obesity, malnutrition, and immunosuppression. We hypothesized that infectious complications in these patients might be reduced by the administration of a nonspecific immune-enhancing agent.

PGG-glucan is a yeast cell wall-derived glucose polymer, poly([1-6]β-D-glucopyranosyl-[1-3]β-D-glucopyranose),1 with a high affinity for β-glucan receptors on human monocytes and neutrophils that binds competitively in a dose-dependent fashion.2,3 PGG-glucan increases in vitro deuterium production4 and the microbicidal activity of human neutrophils and monocytes against Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli,5 but it does not directly stimulate synthesis of interleukin 12,6-8 or tumor necrosis factor. 6-8 In vivo studies in mice and rats receiving PGG-glucan demonstrate improved survival compared with controls after challenge with S aureus, E coli, or cecal contents in peritonitis models.7,9

This was a multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized trial conducted at 39 medical centers in the United States. All patients gave informed consent approved by the local institutional review board. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are given in Table 1 and Table 2. Randomization was accomplished at a central facility and was prospectively stratified by center and by stratum (colorectal stratum, planned procedure involving incision in the colon or rectum; or noncolorectal stratum, no incision planned). Patients received 4 doses of study drug (placebo, 0.5 mg/kg of PGG-glucan or 1.0 mg/kg of PGGglucan) suspended in sodium chloride injection, to a total volume of 250 mL. The maximum dose of PGG-glucan was 90 mg for patients weighing 90 kg or more. The doses were chosen from the prior clinical trials. The first dose was administered within 12 hours prior to the surgical incision; the second immediately after the operation, but at least 4 hours after the first dose; the third 48 (±4) hours after the start of the operation; and the fourth 96 (±4) hours after the start of the operation. All persons were completely blinded regarding drug assignment. Study blinding was maintained until all determinations regarding study outcome and protocol compliance had been determined, an independent adjudication panel of 4 infectious disease physicians and a surgeon with experience in infectious disease trials (Mitchell Fink, MD; Deborah Cotton, MD; Allen Kaiser, MD; David Syndman, MD; and Dori Zaleznik, MD) had completed their evaluation of the data, and the data set had been locked.

All patients received antibiotic prophylaxis according to protocol. Patients having colorectal operations had a mechanical bowel preparation combined with neomycin plus either erythromycin or metronidazole during the 18 hours prior to the procedure. These patients received 1 to 2 g of cefotetan intravenously, and those having a noncolorectal operation received 1 to 2 g of cefazolin intravenously during the 2 hours prior to incision. Cefotetan and cefazolin were given for 24 hours or less. Patients allergic to these antibiotics were not enrolled in the study.

Patients were followed up in the hospital and 30 to 45 days postoperatively. Serious infections were prospectively defined as a surgical site infection (SSI) either of the

organ space or incision, <sup>10</sup> pneumonia, bloodstream infection, sepsis syndrome with any infection not otherwise defined, and any infection that led to a rehospitalization. The following definitions were used.

p.

le

Τ

SI

d

n

An organ space SSI was present if there was evidence of infection or abscess during reoperation or by radiological examination within the abdomen or chest directly related to the site of operation accompanied by a temperature greater than 38.0°C, a white blood cell count greater than  $11.0 \times 10^9$ /L and a pathogen was identified by Gram stain or culture or purulent material was obtained at operation or by needle aspiration, or by drain placed percutaneously into the organ space subsequent to the completion of the index operative procedure.

An incisional SSI was present if the patient had a temperature greater than  $38.0^{\circ}$ C, a white blood cell count greater than  $11.0 \times 10^{9}$ /L, localized signs of inflammation at the incision, or evidence of infection was found on direct examination of the incision, and the incision spontaneously dehisced or was deliberately opened by the surgeon or was percutaneously drained.

Pneumonia had to be evaluated by sputum examination with Gram stain and culture and by chest x-ray film and had to meet at least 1 each of the 5 following criteria: (1) temperature greater than 38.0°C, a white blood cell count greater than  $11.0 \times 10^{9}$ /L, respiratory rate greater than 20/ min, minute ventilation greater than 10 L/min or PaCO2 less than 32 mm Hg, chills, or altered mental state in the absence of other explanation; (2) chest pain, cough, rales, or dullness to percussion in nonventilated patients; (3) chest radiographic examination with new or progressive infiltrate, consolidation, cavitation, or pleural effusion; (4) purulent sputum with more than 25 polymorphonuclear leukocytes and less than 10 epithelial cells per low-power field; (5) culture-positive sputum with pure growth of a presumed pathogen, sputum Gram stain with predominant morphology consistent with a presumed pathogen, or positive blood culture for a respiratory pathogen.

A bloodstream infection was present if there was a temperature greater than  $38.0^{\circ}$ C, a white blood cell count greater than  $11.0 \times 10^{9}$ /L, chills, or altered mental state in the absence of other explanations and the patient had either a recognized pathogen from a single blood culture or 2 blood cultures drawn on separate occasions growing the same "skin contaminant" judged by the physician to represent an infection.

PGG-glucan prevented infections in a guinea pig model of wound infection. <sup>10</sup> In a rat model of polymicrobial peritonitis, PGG-glucan reduced mortality from 75% to 8%, and this effect could be transferred with spleen cells, spleen cell lysates, peripheral blood leukocytes, or serum from treated animals. <sup>11</sup> PGG-glucan was synergistic with antibiotic treatment in the same peritonitis model. <sup>7,12</sup> PGG-glucan does not have a reproducible pyrogenic effect in humans at therapeutic doses. <sup>4,13</sup>

Two phase 2 human trials have been conducted with PGG-glucan. In a single-center study comparing placebo with 0.5 mg/kg of PGG-glucan in 34 patients undergoing high-risk major abdominal or thoracic operations, the number of infections per infected patient was less in the group receiving PGG-glucan. <sup>14</sup> A subsequent multicenter trial involving 67 patients undergoing the

same spectrum of procedures compared placebo, 0.1 mg/kg, 0.5 mg/kg, 1.0 mg/kg, and 2.0 mg/kg of PGG-glucan<sup>15</sup> and demonstrated a trend toward fewer infections with increasing doses of PGG-glucan. The difference in number of infected patients was not statistically significant in either trial.

### RESULTS

Twelve hundred forty-nine patients were randomized at 39 centers in the United States between March 16, 1995, and February 28, 1997. Seventy-two patients were withdrawn before the administration of study drug, leaving 1177 patients (396 in the placebo group, 396 in the 0.5 mg/kg PGG-glucan group, and 385 in the 1.0 mg/kg PGG-glucan group) who received at least 1 partial dose of the

Sepsis syndrome was present if the patient had a temperature greater than 38.0°C, a pulse rate greater than 90 beats per minute, and a respiratory rate greater than 20 beats per minute or minute ventilation greater than 10 L/min or PaCO2 less than 32 mm Hg, and 1 of the following: (1) systolic blood pressure < 90 mm Hg or a fall in systolic blood pressure greater than 40 mm Hg for more than 1 hour; (2) pharmacological vasopressors required to maintain a mean arterial pressure of greater than 55 mm Hg; (3) PaO2 of less than 70 mm Hg on room air or PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio of less than 333 in the absence of pneumonia; (4) metabolic acidosis with pH less than 7.3 with no other cause for acidosis; (5) oliguria with urine output less than 0.5 mg/kg per hour for at least 1 hour; (6) abnormal coagulation suggesting disseminated coagulation such as prothrombin time greater than 150% of control, partial thromboplastin time greater than twice normal or fibrin degradation products greater than 10 mg/L; (7) significant alteration of mental status consisting of a decrease of at least 2 points on the Glasgow Coma Scale.

Any infection not defined above that resulted in a readmission of a patient to the hospital was defined as an infection requiring rehospitalization and was counted as a serious infection for the primary end point.

The principal investigator documented the elements of the definition for each serious infection, and these were verified by study monitors. At the conclusion of the study, prior to unblinding, the adjudication panel reviewed all infections and suspected infections to determine serious infections as defined by the protocol and to assign a predominant pathogen to each infection. The panel used the protocol definitions of serious infections as guidelines, but invoked clinical judgment in cases where they judged that infection was apparent but not all defined criteria were documented. Most decisions were made by consensus. When necessary, majority vote ruled. In the case of a tie, the principal investigator's assessment was used.

#### SAMPLE SIZE

Assumptions used to project sample size were that the serious infection rate in the placebo arm of the trial would be approximately 30%. Assuming a reduction in the treatment arm to an infection rate of 20%, approximately 1170 patients, equally allocated among 3 treatment groups, would be needed to detect a difference with 90% power and an  $\alpha$  level of significance of .05.  $^{\rm 17}$ 

### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The primary analysis was performed on an intent-to-treat group consisting of all patients who were randomized and received at least 1 partial dose of study drug (PGG-glucan or placebo group), irrespective of study eligibility criteria and operative status (all patients treated). Study results were also analyzed for an efficacy-evaluable group that consisted of all patients in the primary analysis group who met all inclusion/exclusion criteria, underwent a surgical procedure within 48 hours of the first infusion of study drug, received at least 2 complete doses of the study drug, and had documented day 30 infection status. Study results were also analyzed for each stratum (colorectal and noncolorectal) and descriptive statistics were provided.

Treatment comparisons were based on 2-sided tests. Categorical variables for preoperative data were assessed for treatment differences using a Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel  $\chi^2$  test adjusting for investigators and stratum. Continuous variables were assessed for treatment differences by analysis of variance with effects for treatment, investigator, stratum, and their interactions. The overall treatment effect for the primary end point (proportion of patients with serious infection or death) was determined by logistic regression with effects for treatment alone without adjustment for covariates.

The primary end point was analyzed for both the adjudicated infection status and investigator-reported infection status. Patients who died before day 30 were classified as a treatment failure. Patients with follow-up for fewer than 30 days were analyzed in 2 ways: (1) the primary analysis used a last infection status carried forward definition; (2) the alternate analysis counted all patients with fewer than 30 days of known infection status as a treatment failure.

A multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to investigate the potential effects of covariates of host and operative risk factors (noncolorectal stratum, wound class, age, duration of surgery, duration of preoperative stay, American Society of Anesthesiologists score, obesity status, diabetic status, malnutrition, and preexisting illness), and treatment effects. Serious infections were analyzed for both adjudicated and investigator-determined infection status using both methods of determining follow-up status described for the primary end point. Treatment effects were tested using a Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel statistic for differences in mean scores adjusting for investigator and stratum.

study drug and therefore constituted the intent-to-treat group. The results and conclusions are essentially the same for the efficacy-evaluable patients (n = 1100).

Of the 1177 patients, 786 were assigned to the colorectal stratum and 391 to the noncolorectal stratum. The distribution of operative procedures within these strata is displayed in **Table 3**. The preoperative randomization of 64 of the colorectal patients and 6 of the noncolorectal patients differed from the procedure actually performed based on intraoperative findings. These procedures are analyzed within the strata to which they were initially assigned. The demographics, potential risk factors, and selected operative parameters between these strata are displayed in **Table 4**. Within each strata there were no important differences between the 3 study treatment groups in any of these parameters except for a slight

increase in the number of patients with a prolonged preoperative stay in the 0.5-mg/kg PGG-glucan group. However, significant differences were noted between the colorectal and noncolorectal strata for most prospectively defined variables (Table 4). The differences in the colorectal vs noncolorectal strata were further highlighted by a comparison of infection rates and/or death for the placebo groups (14% vs 36% for the colorectal and noncolorectal groups, respectively). A higher infection rate was observed in the noncolorectal stratum for each category of infection. More noncolorectal patients received postoperative nonprophylactic antibiotics (137 [47%] of 288 of noninfected patients [mean, 10 days]) than in the colorectal group (237 [35%] of 672 of noninfected patients [mean, 9 days]; P<.001), suggesting a more complicated and high-risk patient population.

SS

sti

01

ur

T)

oſ

23

ha

## Table 1. Inclusion Criteria

Age ≥18 y

Scheduled for an elective gastrointestinal operation with incision into stomach, intestine, colon, pancreas, or biliary tract

Expected duration of operation 2 to 8 h with primary closure of the skin At least 2 of the following risk factors:

Gastric operation in the presence of achlorhydria, malignant ulcer, or obstruction The Allega

Biliary procedure with known common duct stones, recent elevated bilirubin (>25.6 µmol/L [1.5 mg/dL]), or planned common duct The second second

Jana Bara

Colon or rectal operation

Pancreatic operation

Malnutrition, defined as 10% weight loss within 6 mo or serum albumin level <35 g/L

Age >60 y

Morbid obesity, body mass index ≥35 kg/m²

Diabetes mellitus, type 1 or type 2

Preoperative hospitalization ≥ 3.d

Preexisting illness, defined as ≥3 medical diagnoses requiring drug therapy

### Table 2. Exclusion Criteria

Operation for uncomplicated appendicitis or cholecystitis Operation for morbid obesity, pancreatitis, or active inflammatory bowel

Laparoscopic procedure without at least a 2-h open incision planned Planned reoperation (other than tracheostomy or vascular access) or rehospitalization within 30 d

Life expectancy <60 d

Karnofsky status ≤20

Systemic immunosuppressive therapy within 7 d before or after the operation

Diagnosis of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome

Absolute neutrophil count ≤1×109/L

Renal failure requiring dialysis

Evidence of infection with antibiotic treatment within 5 d of the operation Known sensitivity to yeast

Allergy to cephalosporins or severe allergy to penicillin

Patient had previously received PGG-glucan or had been enrolled in any clinical trial within 30 d

Antibiotic prophylaxis planned to extend for >24 h

Pregnant or lactating

There was not a significant difference in end point events (serious infection and/or death) in the intent-totreat group (84 [21%], 69 [17%], and 64 [17%] in the placebo group, 0.5-mg/kg PGG-glucan group, and 1.0mg/kg PGG-glucan group, respectively;  $\tilde{P} > .02$ ). Based on the study design that prospectively identified enrolled patients as belonging to either the colorectal or noncolorectal strata and supported by the marked differences in demographics, risk factors, operative conduct, and outcomes between these 2 groups, the treatment effects of PGGglucan vs placebo were analyzed separately for the colorectal and noncolorectal strata. Examination of the colorectal stratum failed to demonstrate any significant treatment effect. End point events were 38 (14%), 40 (15%), and 36 (14%) in the placebo group, 0.5-mg/kg PGGglucan group, and 1.0-mg/kg PGG-glucan group, respectively (P = .93).

	Group*			
Stratum	Placebo	0.5-mg/kg 1.0-mg/kg PGG- Glucan		
Colorectal (n = 786)	ya ji Piliyan	e Tradadi	New York	
Colectomy, intra-abdominal	130	123	127	
anastomosis			All Marie	
Colectomy, low rectal anastomosis	43	43	29	
Colectomy, no anastomosis	,,10	. 29	13.	
Abdominal-perineal resection	24	13	:a 🚉 19	
Colostomy closure	. 23	. 15	. 14	
Major colorectal procedure with	. 11	13	. 14 •	
multiple organ involvement				
Create/revise colostomy	5	2	8	
Other colorectal procedure	4	4.4	6	
Noncolorectal procedure	17	22	25	
Noncolorectal (n = 391)	317) 1 30323 .0 1954: 4	ur mask samp. Dentskrive same		
Esophagectomy	14	12	12	
Gastrectomy	16		18	
The state of the s	्रें 15 ा	- 22	27	
Other pancreatic procedure	Office Electrical Control of the Con	19 🐴	y 1 A 334 M	
	:17	17:	21	
resection and the resection	3274 36			
Hepatic resection	7	4	3.	
Biliary and gastric bypass		S	7.	
Small intestinal procedure	16	10	-s-s-14	
Exploratory laparotomy with or without biopsy	i. 4 <sub></sub> .	9	5	
Other gastric procedure	15	12	13	
Major noncolorectal procedure	2	2	: 13 cm	
with multiple organ involvement				
Colorectal procedure	4	2	'n	
		_		

\* For the colorectal stratum, n = 267 in the placebo group, n = 264in the 0.5-mg/kg PGG-glucan group, and n = 255 in the 1.0-mg/kg PGG-glucan group. For the noncolorectal stratum, n = 129 in the placebo group, n = 132in the 0.5-mg/kg PGG-glucan group, and n = 130 in the 1.0- mg/kg PGG-glucan group.

In the noncolorectal stratum, important treatment effects were observed (Table 5). The 36% incidence of infection and death in the placebo group vs the 22% incidence in each of the treatment groups represents a 39% relative reduction in major adverse end points (P < .02). The greatest effect occurred in the reduction of organ space infection and pneumonia. The trends, conclusions, and significance do not change if the analyses are repeated using any of the different methods for determining failure (Table 6).

An analysis of covariates of infection revealed differences between the noncolorectal stratum and the entire group. Patients in the noncolorectal stratum had a 62% increased risk of serious infection compared with the colorectal stratum. The entire treated patient population demonstrated a significantly increased risk of infection associated with malnutrition, diabetes mellitus, contaminated or dirty wound classification, and duration of surgical procedure longer than 3 hours.

In the noncolorectal stratum, there was a significant increase in infection risk associated with malnutrition, obesity, and duration of operation longer than 3 hours. There was also a strong interaction between study drug and malnutrition. Because of this, a further analysis of malnourished patients in the noncolorectal stra-

Table 4. Demographics, Risk Factors, and Operative Conduct of Study Population, by Anatomical Stratum\*

·	2.000,000,000	Like Marie Landards.	
And the second section of the s		Noncolorectal (n = 391)	27.4
Potential risk factors†	्र ६ स्ट्रि		Janes.
Age ≥60 y	650 (83)	261 (67)	<.001
Obesity†	45 (6)	24 (6)	NS-
Contaminated or dirty wound	85 (11)	36 (9)	<sup>™</sup> NS
classification		Brand Sale	
American Society of	435 (55)	243 (62)	<.05
Anesthesiologists ≥3	á		1
Malnutrition‡	176 (22)	210 (54)	<.001
Diabetes mellitus‡	156 (20)	97 (25)	.05
≥3 Diagnoses‡	226 (29)	100 (26)	∴NS
Preoperative stay ≥3 d	149 (19)	125 (32)	<.001
Selected operative parameters			Mary e
Mean duration of operation, h	2.9	3.9	<.001
Mean duration endotracheal	6.6	14.6	ं <.005
intubation, h			
Central venous catheter	228 (29)	235 (60)	<.001
Arterial catheter	285 (36)	260 (66)	<.001
Drains	225 (29)	251 (64)	<.001
Intraoperative transfusion	128 (16)	(23)	<.05
\$\$1、\$P\$《阿萨尼亚》 (1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.		다른다 있을 것 같다.	a de la

<sup>\*</sup>Data are presented as number (percentage) of patients unless otherwise indicated. NS indicates not significant.

эf

:e

.d

d

f-

a

h

3

.y

tum was performed. The effect of the study drug in the malnourished patients having a noncolorectal procedure was striking, with serious infection or death occurring in 31 (44%) of 70, 16 (24%) of 68, and 12 (17%) of 72 patients in the placebo, 0.5-mg/kg PGG-glucan, and 1.0-mg/kg PGG-glucan groups, respectively (P<.001). Among the most common serious infection types, most of the pathogens identified were aerobic pathogens, including mixed facultative and aerobic pathogens, S aureus, and coagulase-negative staphylococcal species. The incidence of pathogens identified in the PGG-glucan groups were not different from those in the placebo group.

Evidence of adverse effects of PGG-glucan were sought among the combined strata. Forty-four patients (3.7%) died within 30 days, 21 of whom were infected and and 23 of whom were not. There were 16 in the placebo group, 13 in the 0.5-mg/kg PGG-glucan group, and 15 in the 1.0-mg/kg PGG-glucan group, with no significant differences among these groups for patients who died with or without infection. The causes of death in patients without infection were advanced cancer, 4; underlying cardiac disease, 7; respiratory failure, 4; pulmonary embolism, 2; multiple organ failure, 3; gastrointestinal bleeding, 1; small intestinal infarction, 1; and massive aspiration, 1. The infections that preceded death in the other 21 patients were organ space SSI, 9; pneumonia, 3 (1 with advanced cancer); bloodstream infection, 4 (2 with advanced cancer); sepsis without diagnosed infection, 4 (1 with preexisting hepatic failure and 1 with advanced cancer); and incisional SSI, 1. The rate of death following any infection was 21 (11%) of 194, and for patients without any serious infection was 23 (2.3%) of 983. The number of patients classified as having sepsis syndrome was 10 (2.5%) in the placebo

Table 5. Proportion of Patients With Serious Infection and Deaths Within 30 Days, Noncolorectal Stratum

	Group, No. (%)			
		0.5-mg/kg PGG-Glucan (n = 132)	PGG-Glucan	
Patients with serious infections*	42 (33)	29 (22)	22 (17)	
Organ space infection	13 (10)	6 (5)	7 (5)	
Incisional site infection	10 (8)	10 (8)	6 (5)	
Pneumonia	12 (9)	5 (4)	5 (4)	
Bloodstream with sepsis	1 (1)	3 (3)	2 (2)	
Bloodstream without sepsis	5 (4)	6 (5)	4 (3)	
Sepsis syndrome without diagnosed infection	2 (2)	2 (2)	0 (0)	
Other infection leading to rehospitalization	3 (2)	4 (3)	0 (0)	
Deaths among infected patients	7 (5)	6 (5)	4 (3)	
Death without serious infection by day 30	4 (3)	.0 (0)	6 (5)	
Total No. of end point events†	46 (36)	29 (22)	28 (22)	

<sup>\*</sup>Four patients had multiple infections in the placebo group, 4 in the 0.5-mg/kg PGG-glucan group, and 2 in the 1.0-mg/kg PGG-glucan group. †P<.02.

Table 6. Percentage of End Point Events by Method of Counting, Noncolorectal Stratum\*

		Group, 9	6 . 70	
Method	No. Placebo	0.5-mg/kg PGG- Glucan	1.0-mg/kg PGG- Glucan	P
All patients, adjudic ITT, investigator's opinion,‡ last sta	391 37	21 21	21, 26	<.02 <.02
ITT, adjudicated, las status	· ·	. 22	. 22	<.02
ITT, investigator's opinion, LTFU   ITT, adjudicated, LT	391 40 FU 391 38	24 25	28 24	<03 <.03
	n hairin t	367387991	10年16年18月1日	

<sup>\*</sup>ITT indicates intention-to-treat group; LTFU, lost to follow-up.

group, 13 (3.3%) in the 0.5-mg/kg PGG-glucan group, and 6 (1.6%) in the 1.0-mg/kg PGG-glucan group.

PGG-glucan was well tolerated. Discontinuation of study drug owing to adverse effects occurred in 8 (2%) of 396 placebo patients, 14 (4%) of 396 patients in the 0.5-mg/kg group, and 26 (7%) of 385 patients in the 1.0-mg/kg group (P<.003). There was a slight increase in fever, hypertension, nausea, and vomiting seen primarily in the 1.0-mg/kg dose group. Serious adverse events were common in all groups, 253 (64%) of 396, 241 (61%) of 396, and 259 (67%) of 385, in the placebo group, 0.5-mg/kg PGG-glucan group, and 1.0-mg/kg PGG-glucan group, respectively, reflecting the serious operations and high-risk nature of the patients, and were not different between groups.

<sup>†</sup>Prospectively defined covariates.

<sup>\$</sup>See text for definitions.

<sup>†</sup> Opinion of adjudication panel regarding endpoint event.

<sup>‡</sup>Investigator's opinion as recorded on case report form regarding endpoint

<sup>§</sup>For patients lost to follow-up before 30 days, status at last follow-up recorded as final status.

<sup>||</sup>Lost to follow-up counted as failure.

### The Betafectin Gastrointestinal Study Group

Saddleback Medical Research Services, San Diego, Calif; Principal Investigator (PI), Jorge Llorente, MD; Study Coordinator (SC) Beth Hamilton. University of New Mexico Hospital, Albuquerque; PIs, Donald E. Fry, MD, Don M. Morris, MD; SC, Ann Petrauskas. University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City; PI, Russell G. Postier, MD; SC, Kathryn Wilkerson. University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle; PIs, E. Patchen Dellinger, MD, Larry M. Gentilello, MD; SCs, Ella Mae Kurashige, Tricia Spach, Kathy Hare. Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tenn, PI, William O. Richards, MD. University of South Florida, Tampa; PI, James Norman, MD; SC, Connie Farrell. Tampa General Hospital, Tampa, Fla; PI, Alexander S. Rosemurgy, MD; SC, Kevin Dillon. Longmont United Hospital, Longmont, Colo: PI Kevin Berg, MD, SC, Brenda Sadler. Providence General Medical Center, Everett, Wash; PI, Edward A. Vaughn, MD, SC, Rafael Veintimilla, Cooper Hospital, Camden, NJ; PI, Louis Flancbaum, MD. University of Cincinnati Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio; PI, Fred A. Luchette, MD; SC, Carol Hill-Gulick. Beth Israel-Deaconess Hospital, Boston Mass; PI, Timothy J. Babimeau, MD; SC, Jennifer Stack. Medical College of Wisconsin-Affiliated Hospitals, Milwaukee; PI, Robert Condon, MD; SC, Cristine Clay. Creighton University Medical Center, Omaha, Neb; PI, Robert J. Fitzgibbons, MD; SC, Chris Destache. University of South Alabama Medical Center, Mobile; PI, J. Raymond Fletcher, MD; SC, Theresa Wright. Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia; PI, C. William Hanson, MD; SC, Heather Steinberger. University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville; PIs, Stephen B. Vogel, MD, Richard Howard, MD, Michael P. Hocking, MD; SCs, Robbie Stringfellow, Greg Drexler, Rochester General Hospital, Rochester, NY; PI, David Kaufman, MD; SC, Doris Coleman. University of Tennessee College of Medicine, Memphis, PI, Guy R. Voeller, MD, SC, Freida Hatmaker. University of Louisville School of Medicine, Louisville, Ky, Pl, Susan Galandiuk, MD; SC, Susan Clayton. Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, La P.I. Ronald L. Nichols. MD; SC, Jeffrey Smith. University of Massachusetts Medical Center, Worcester; PI, Stephen Heard, MD-Hennepin County Medical Center, Minneapolis, Minn; PI, Michael West, MD; SC, Jon Jancik. Washington Hospital Center, Wash, DC; PI, John Kirkpatrick, MD; SC, Marla Davis. Palm Beach Research Center, West Palm Beach, Fla. PI, Barry Miskin, MD; SC, Bonita Bender. Spartanburg Regional Medical Center, Spartanburg, SC; PIs, Charles B. Hanna, MD, Thomas Ashley; University of North Dakota United Hospital, Grand Forks; PI, David R. Antonenko, MD; SC, Julie Anderson. Southeastern Clinical Research, Maitland, Fla; PI, Frank Campisi, MD; SC, Lynn Hollenbach. Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood, Ill; PI, David J. Dries, MD; SC, Vicki McGill. MDVA Medical Center, Houston, Tex; PI, Thomas V. Taylor, MD, SC, Mary Beth Lengyel. Future Healthcare Research Center, Philadelphia, Pa; PI, Richard Greenberg, MD; SC, Kathy Kelly. Carl T. Hayden VA Medical Center, Phoenix, Ariz; PI, William Dolan, MD; SC, Linda Gilbert. Medical Center of Delaware; Newark; PI, Gerard Fuldam, MD; SC Dalva Hailstone. Advanced Clinical Research Institute, Anaheim, Calif; PI, Miguel Velez, MD; SC, Millie Riff. The Medical College of Georgia, Augusta; PI, Robert Martindale, MD; SC, Mary Anne Park. University of California Irvine Medical Center, Irvine; PI, Russell Williams, MD; SC, Judy Hopkins.

## COMMENT

This study confirms the criteria used to select a high-risk patient population. Infection rates and/or death among all patients randomized and in the colorectal stratum did not achieve a statistically significant reduction in the PGG-glucan groups compared with placebo. However, the prospectively defined stratum of patients having noncolorectal procedures exhibited a relative reduction of 39% in serious infections and death in the groups treated with PGG-glucan (Table 5, P < .02).

The therapeutic effect of PGG-glucan was evident at both doses (0.5 mg/kg and 1.0 mg/kg). Adverse events were not significantly different between placebo and active treatment groups for most categories in this study. Because the mechanism of action for PGG-glucan involves priming of macrophages and neutrophils, one could speculate that it would increase the incidence of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome in this highrisk patient population. It is reassuring that there was no trend in this direction with observed rates of sepsis syndrome. This is consistent with the known mechanisms of action of PGG-glucan, which enhances phagocytosis and killing by monocytes but does not increase production of interleukin 1 or tumor necrosis factor. <sup>6-8</sup>

Why would this agent be effective in reducing infections in the noncolorectal stratum but not in the colorectal stratum? Answers are speculative, but the differences in the prevalence of important risk factors

between the 2 strata may provide clues. Surgical injury causes immunosuppression <sup>18-20</sup> followed by enhanced immune responsiveness. This effect is greater after substantial trauma <sup>18,21</sup> or longer, more complex operations. In this trial, surgery duration (>3 hours) contributed significantly to infection risk, with an odds ratio of 2.46 (P<.001). The mean duration of surgery in the noncolorectal stratum was 3.9 hours compared with the 2.9 hours for the colorectal stratum (P<.001).

Fifty-four percent of noncolorectal patients were malnourished, a known risk factor for several postoperative complications, especially infection. <sup>22,23</sup> Malnutrition reduces immune competence <sup>24-26</sup> and impairs macrophage function. <sup>26</sup> PGG-glucan enhances leukocyte function in both normal and malnourished rats. The reduction in serious infections in patients undergoing high-risk noncolorectal gastrointestinal procedures is consistent with the mechanism of action of PGG-glucan.

Finally, SSIs that complicate colorectal surgery may result from wound contamination with high titers of bacteria, while infections after noncolorectal gastrointestinal procedures are related to the inherent complex nature of the procedures and the higher risk status of the patients undergoing these procedures. An immune modulator that enhances phagocyte activity may have limited success in containing the high levels of bacterial contamination associated with colorectal surgery, <sup>10</sup> but may be able to enhance the natural immune mechanisms that are compromised in the noncolorectal procedures.

tions resu egor mail lecte are a niqueur, men occu

inve

mu

Fronton.
Dea
pha
Dep
ter,
vice
Okl
Pos
ville
Non

Kai

isvi

con

at l

invi nol Alp als

faii tric nol vei

54

Sui

2.

3.

4.

5.

Decades of experimental and clinical investigations into the prevention of postoperative infection have resulted in marked reductions in infections in all risk categories, <sup>29</sup> Nevertheless, a definable risk of infection remains for virtually all surgical procedures, and in selected populations, such as those defined here, the risks are considerable. While refinements in surgical techniques and antimicrobial prophylaxis will certainly occur, this study suggests that the next major advancement in the prevention of postoperative infection will occur in the context of immunomodulation. Additional investigations in the use of PGG-glucan and other immunomodulating agents are clearly warranted.

From the Department of Surgery, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, (Dr Dellinger); Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Mass (Dr Babineau); Alpha-Beta Technology, Worcester, Mass (Dr Bleicher); the Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tenn (Dr Kaiser); StatNet Statistical Services Network, Haverhill, Mass (Dr Seibert); University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City (Dr Postier); University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville (Dr Vogel); University of South Florida, Tampa (Dr Norman); Rochester General Hospital, Rochester, NY (Dr Kaufman); University of Louisville School of Medicine, Louisville, Ky (Dr Galandiuk); and the Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee (Dr Condon). Dr Bleicher is currently at Phase Forward Inc, Newton, Mass.

This study was supported by grants to the individual investigators and institutions involved from Alpha-Beta Technology, Worcester, Mass.

Drs Dellinger and Kaiser serve as paid consultants to Alpha-Beta Technology regarding the conduct of clinical trials. Dr Bleicher served as vice president for Clinical Affairs of Alpha-Beta Technology during the conduct of the trial. Dr Seibert serves as a consultant to Alpha-Beta Technology for statistical design and analysis.

im-

an-

sig-

.46

·lo-

urs

ial-

ive

re-

ro-

nc-

ing

on-

ıay

sti-

na-

the

du-

ted oniay

In

Corresponding author: E. Patchen Dellinger, MD, University of Washington School of Medicine, Department of Surgery, Box 356410, 1959 NE Pacific St, Seattle, WA 98195-6410 (e-mail: patch@u.washington.edu).

## REFERENCES

- Jamas S, Chen Y-CJ, von der Osten CH, Sinskey AJ, Rha CK. Spectral analysis
  of glucan produced by wild-type and mutant Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Carbohydr Polymers. 1990;13:207-219.
- Poutsiaka DD, Mengozzi M, Vannier E, Sinha B, Dinarello CA. Cross-linking of the beta-glucan receptor on human monocytes results in interleukin-1 receptor antagonist but not interleukin-1 production. *Blood.* 1993;82:3695-3700.
- Wakshull E, Lindermuth J, Zimmerman J. Characterization of PGG-glucan binding to a β-glucan receptor on human leukocytes: 1954. FASEB J. 1996;10: A1338.
- Bleicher P, Mackin W. Betafectin PGG-glucan: a novel carbohydrate immunomodulator with anti-infective properties. J Biotechnol Healthcare. 1995;2: 207-222.
- Shah PM, Interwies EW, Nzeramasanga P, Stille W. Influence of PGG on the phagocytosis of Staphylococcus aureus or Escherichia coli by human granulocytes or human peritoneal macrophages. In: Program and Abstracts of the International

- Congress for Infectious Diseases. Montreal, Canada: International Congress for Infectious Diseases: 1990:abstract 504.
- Dinarello CA. Stimulation of IL-1 and TNF-alpha Synthesis by Betafectin. Worcester, Mass: Alpha-Beta Technology, Inc; 1991. Report CP-2/D-09/25/89.
- Liang J, Melican D, Cafro L, et al. Enhanced clearance of a multiple antibiotic resistant Staphylococcus aureus in rats treated with PG-glucan is associated with increased leukocyte counts and increased neutrophil oxidative burst activity. Int J Immunopharmacol. 1998:20:595-614.
- Adams DS, Pero SC, Petro JB, Nathans R, Mackin WM, Wakshull E. PGG-glucan activates NF-kB-like and NF-IL-6-like transcription factor complexes in a murine monocytic cell line. J Leukoc Biol. 1997;62:865-873.
- Onderdonk AB, Cisneros RL, Hinkson P, Ostroff G. Anti-infective effect of polybeta 1-6-glucotriosyl-beta 1-3-glucopyranose glucan in vivo. *Infect Immun.* 1992; 60:1642-1647.
- Kernodle DS, Gates H, Kaiser AB. Prophylactic anti-infective activity of poly-[1-6]-b-o-glucopyranosyl-[1-3]-b-o-glycopyranose glucan in a guinea pig model of staphylococcal wound infection. Antimicrob Ag Chemother. 1998;42:
- Cisneros RL, Gibson FC 3rd, Tzianabos AO. Passive transfer of poly-(1-6)-beta-glucotriosyl-(1-3)-beta-glucopyranose glucan protection against lethal infection in an animal model of intra-abdominal sepsis. *Infect Immun.* 1996;64: 2201-2205.
- Tzianabos AO, Cisneros RL. Prophylaxis with the immunomodulator PGG glucan enhances antibiotic efficacy in rats infected with antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Ann NY Acad Sci. 1996;797:285-287.
- IND 4190 Betafectin, Information Amendment, Serial No. 005. Worcester, Mass: Alpha-Beta Technology, Inc; 1992. Report 18:3037-3039.
- Babineau TJ, Marcello P, Swails W, Kenler A, Bistrian B, Forse RA. Randomized phase I/II trial of a macrophage-specific immunomodulator (PGG-glucan) in highrisk surgical patients. *Ann Surg.* 1994;220:601-609.
- Babineau TJ, Hackford A, Kenler A, et al. A phase II multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study of three dosages of an immunomodulator (PGGglucan) in high-risk surgical patients. Arch Surg. 1994;129:1204-1210.
- Horan TC, Gaynes RP, Martone WJ, Jarvis WR, Emori TG. CDC definitions of nosocomial surgical site infections, 1992: a modification of CDC definitions of surgical wound infections. Am J Infect Control. 1992;20:271-274.
- Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical Methods. 8th ed. Iowa City, Iowa: Iowa State University Press; 1989.
- Meakins JL. Host defense mechanisms in surgical patients: effect of surgery and trauma. Acta Chir Scand Suppl. 1989;550:43-53.
- Holzheimer RG, Molloy R, Mendez MV, et al. Multiple system organ failure may be influenced by macrophage hypoactivation as well as hyperactivation importance of the double challenge. Eur J Surg. 1995;161:795-803.
- Lyons A, Kelly JL, Rodrick ML, Manick JA, Lederer JA. Major injury induces increased production of interleukin-10 by cells of the immune system with a negative impact on resistance to infection. *Ann Surg.* 1997;226:450-460.
- Allendorf, JD. Bessler M, Whelan RL, et al. Postoperative immune function varies inversely with the degree of surgical trauma in a murine model. Surg Endosc. 1997;11:427-430.
- Studley HO. Percentage of weight loss. A basic indicator of surgical risk in patients with chronic peptic ulcer. JAMA. 1936;106:458-460.
- Mullen JL, Gertner MH, Buzby GP, Goodhart GL, Rosato EF. Implications of malnutrition in the surgical patient. Arch Surg. 1979;114:121-125.
- Gross RL, Newberne PM. Role of nutrition in immunologic function. *Physiol Rev.* 1980;60:188-302.
- Daly JM, Torosian MH. Nutritional support. In: DeVita V, Hellman S, Rosenberg S, eds. Cancer Principles and Practice of Oncology. Philadelphia, Pa: JB Lippincott; 1993:2480-2501.
- 26. Redmond HP, Leon P, Lieberman MD, et al. Impaired macrophage function in severe protein-energy malnutrition. *Arch Surg.* 1991;126:192-196.
- Santos JI, Arbo A. Effect of new carbohydrate polymers on neutrophil function in experimental malnutrition. Mexico City, Mexico: Alpha-Beta Technology Inc; 1990. Report AP-2/S-05/01/89.
- Arbo A, Garcia P, Santos J. Effect of new carbohydrate polymers on neutrophil (PMN) function in experimental malnutrition. In: 29th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. Houston, Tex: American Society for Microbiology; 1989.
- Kernodle DS, Kaiser AB. Postoperative infections and antimicrobial prophylaxis.
   In: Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin R, eds. *Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases*. 4th ed. New York, NY: Churchill Livingstone Inc; 1995:2742-2756.